Q3560.002524.

PATENT APPLICATION DON

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application of:)
y	: Examiner: P. Schwartz
Teruaki OKUDA)
	: Group Art Unit: 1774
Appln. No.: 09/472,988)
	<u>:</u>
Filed: December 28, 1999)
	:
For: RECORDING MEDIUM, AND)
RECORDING METHOD USING	: October 20, 2003
THE SAME)

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 RECEIVED OCT 23 2003 TC 1700

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

Applicant and his undersigned representative thank the Examiner for the courtesy of the personal interview conducted on October 8, 2003.

Claims 1-12 and the Section 103 rejection based on Hirose et al. alone or in view of Malhotra or Cousin et al. were discussed at the interview. The Examiner took the position that the outermost surface layer of Hirose et al. would inherently be transparent. Applicant disagreed. Applicant's representative stated that to establish inherency, the missing feature must necessarily be present in the reference. MPEP § 212 was reviewed. The Examiner stated that she could have made the same obviousness rejection, based on the same references, without saying that the feature is inherent. The Examiner maintains the rejection of Claims 1-3 and 6.

Claims 13-17 (newly presented in the September 22, 2003 Amendment, but not

yet entered) were also discussed at the interview. It was noted that independent Claim 13 recites

that the outermost surface layer consists essentially of thermoplastic latex resin particles, and that

Claim 13 does not include the feature recited in amended Claim 1 that the outermost latex

surface layer forms a transparent film upon heating of the recording medium (a feature that is not

recited in Claim 1 as originally filed). It was also noted that in Hirose et al., the outermost layer

is composed principally of cationic ultrafine particles. The Examiner expressed a need to do a

further search of the prior art with respect to Claim 13 and its dependent claims.

Possible rejoinder of withdrawn claims was also discussed. At that time, the

Examiner did not consider any claims to be allowable.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C.

office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should be directed to our address

listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

ney for Applicant

tration No. 30,938

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO

30 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10112-3801

Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

JKD:ayr

147469 v 1

2